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# **RESPONSIBLE WOOD**

# **COMMUNITY GRANTS PROGRAM 2023-24**

# 

# **JUDGING RUBRIC**

**Scoring Methodology**

Scores 1 – 5

1 = Unsatisfactory answer and proposal should not be funded unless further explanation is provided.

2 = Fair, this component of the proposal has associated risks.

3 = Reasonable, this component of the proposal meets the requirements of the specific key selection criteria.

4 = Good, this component of the proposal is good and it more than meets the specific key selection criteria.

5 = Excellent, this component of the proposal is excellent.

**Judging Table**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Key Selection Criteria** | **Score 1 – 5** |
| Project idea – How well is the project aligned to the aims of the Responsible Wood Community Grant Program? |  |
| What value does the idea bring to the project’s local community? |  |
| Why do you want to undertake this project? |  |
| What support does your project have from either a Responsible Wood; certified forest grower or producer with Chain of Custody certification? |  |
| How will you promote the project to your community and beyond? |  |
| Are you working with any other community groups to deliver this project? If so, please describe. |  |
| Is this grant part of a co-contribution from another funding source, if so, please describe? |  |
| **Total Score** |  |